

SUMMARY

- Foreword by the Editor, by *Dr. Bogdan Aurescu*, State Secretary for Strategic Affairs, Romanian MFA, Senior Lecturer, Faculty of Law, University of Bucharest, Agent of Romania before the International Court of Justice (2004-2009) 4

INTRODUCTION

- Opening Statement to the Conference “Romania and the International Court of Justice. 5 years since the Maritime Delimitation in the Black Sea” (Bucharest, 3 February 2014), by *Titus Corlăţean*, Minister of Foreign Affairs of Romania 7
- Introductory Remarks to the Conference “Romania and the International Court of Justice. 5 years since the Maritime Delimitation in the Black Sea” (Bucharest, 3 February 2014), by *Judge Kenneth Keith*, *International Court of Justice* 11

PART 1

5 YEARS SINCE THE MARITIME DELIMITATION IN THE BLACK SEA (ROMANIA V. UKRAINE). SIGNIFICANCE AND EFFECTS

- The Importance of The Hague Judgment in the *Maritime Delimitation in the Black Sea* for the Romanian Foreign Policy - *Dr. Bogdan Aurescu*, State Secretary for Strategic Affairs, Senior Lecturer, Faculty of law, University of Bucharest Agent of Romania before the International Court of Justice (2004-2009) 23
- Roumanie c. Ukraine* – un arrêt fondateur - *Professeur Alain Pellet*, Université Paris-Ouest, Nanterre - La Défense, Président de la Société française pour le Droit international 31
- L'arrêt sur la délimitation dans la mer Noire: la perspective d'un juge *ad-hoc* - *Professeur Jean-Pierre Cot*, Juge ad-hoc dans l'affaire de la

Délimitation maritime en Mer Noire, Juge du Tribunal international du droit de la mer	45
The Successful Resolution of the Romanian-Ukrainian Maritime Delimitation through Recourse to ICJ – Possible Model for other Delimitation Issues in the Black Sea/Adriatic Sea? - <i>Ambassador Cosmin Dinescu</i> , former Co-Agent of Romania before the International Court of Justice	51
The Context of Energy Developments and their Impact on Future Regional Cooperation - <i>Dr. Mihnea Constantinescu</i> , Ambassador-at-large, Special Representative for Energy Security of the Romanian Government	61

PART 2

ROMANIA AND THE INTERNATIONAL COURT OF JUSTICE
OVER TIME

SECTION 2.1.

THE BEGINNING: FROM THE PERMANENT COURT OF
INTERNATIONAL JUSTICE TO THE ICJ ADVISORY OPINIONS OF THE
FIFTIES

The Modernity of the 1927 PCIJ Advisory Opinion on the <i>Jurisdiction of the European Commission of the Danube between Galatz and Braila</i> - <i>Alina Miron</i> , Researcher at the University Paris-Ouest, Nanterre - La Défense	69
The 1950 Court's Advisory Opinions of the International Court of Justice on the <i>Admission of States to Membership in the United Nations</i> - <i>Dr. Daniel Müller</i> , Consultant in Public International Law, Researcher at the Centre de droit international de Nanterre, Université Paris Ouest, Nanterre – La Défense	89
A Summary Assessment of the 1950 Advisory Opinions of the International Court of Justice on <i>Interpretation of Peace Treaties with Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania</i> - <i>Simon Olleson</i> , Barrister, 13 Old Square Chambers	102

SUMMARY

SECTION 2.2.

FROM THE MAZILU CASE TO THE RECOGNITION OF THE
COMPULSORY JURISDICTION. ROMANIA'S LESSONS LEARNED IN
ICSID ARBITRATIONS

- Romania, the ICJ, and the 1989 Advisory Opinion concerning the *Applicability of Article VI, Section 22, of the Convention on the Privileges and Immunities of the United Nations* (the Mazilu case): an outsider's view - *Sir Michael Wood*, Member of the International Law Commission 137
- Romania and the 2010 Advisory Opinion concerning the *Accordance with international law of the unilateral declaration of independence in respect of Kosovo* - *Liviu Dumitru*, deputy director, International Law and Treaties Department, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Romania 150
- Romania and the Legal Protection of Foreign Investment: Lessons Learned from the Case-law created under Romania's Bilateral Investment Treaties - *Cornel Popa*, Partner, Țuca, Zbârcea & Asociații Law Firm 159
- The Effects of a Future Declaration of Romania recognizing the Jurisdiction of the ICJ as Compulsory - *Dr. Ion Gâlea*, General Director for Legal Affairs, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Romania 180

ANNEXES

- A Summary Assessment of the ICJ Judgment of 3 February 2009 in the *Maritime Delimitation in the Black Sea (Romania v. Ukraine)* Case - *James Crawford, Vaughan Lowe, Alain Pellet, Daniel Müller, Simon Olleson* 199
- Presentation of the Cases regarding Romania before the Permanent Court of International Justice and the International Court of Justice (other than the *Maritime Delimitation in the Black Sea*) 211

Programme of the Conference “ <i>Romania and the International Court of Justice. 5 years since the Maritime Delimitation in the Black Sea</i> ”, Bucharest, Headquarters of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Romania, <i>Gafencu</i> Hall	219
Presentations of the Contributors	223